

**Multimedia Appendix 5.** Differences between intervention (GSH) and control group in count outcomes for dietary behaviors and physical activity at 6-month follow-up, adjusted for baseline values and confounders <sup>a</sup>

	n	Marginal mean (95% CI)		Comparison <sup>b</sup>	Difference (GSH vs Control)		Overall <i>P</i> <sup>c</sup>
		GSH H/ control	GSH H		Control	RR or OR (95% CI)	
<b>Physical activity (PA)</b>							
Vigorous PA (sessions/week)	103/ 114	1.78 (1.38, 2.17)	1.59 (1.19, 1.99)	Yes/No	1.31 (0.61, 2.83)	.492	.761
				Amount	1.02 (0.80, 1.29)	.887	
Moderate PA (sessions/week)	103/ 114	1.41 (1.09, 1.73)	0.84 (0.60, 1.08)	Yes/No	<b>2.90</b> <b>(7.06,</b> <b>1.19)</b>	<b>.019</b>	.015
				Amount	1.10 (0.76, 1.58)	.606	
Walking (sessions/week)	104/ 114	2.90 (2.50, 3.30)	3.60 (3.10, 4.09)	Yes/No	2.17 (0.45, 10.43)	.334	.018
				Amount	<b>0.77</b> <b>(0.64,</b> <b>0.92)</b>	<b>.005</b>	
<b>Dietary behaviors</b>							
Vegetables (servings/day)	104/ 114	2.99 (2.65, 3.32)	2.80 (2.49, 3.11)	Overall	1.07 (0.91, 1.24)	.464	-
Fruit (servings/day)	104/ 114	1.85 (1.59, 2.11)	1.70 (1.46, 1.94)	Overall	1.09 (0.90, 1.32)	.392	-
Sweetened drink (cups/day)	104/ 114	0.27 (0.12, 0.42)	0.31 (0.14, 0.48)	Yes/No	0.50 (0.02, 16.36)	.588	.719
				Amount	0.85 (0.49, 1.51)	.694	
Takeaway (meals/week)	104/ 114	0.43 (0.21, 0.65)	0.43 (0.21,0.6 4)	Yes/No	0.67 (0.14, 3.22)	.614	.819
				Amount	1.16	.569	

(0.69,  
1.96)

<sup>a</sup> Models adjust for baseline values of the outcome and potential confounders significant at  $P < 0.2$  (Listed in Multimedia Appendix 1). From the negative binomial regression models, we report relative rates (GHS mean/ control mean), while from the zero-inflated negative binomial models we report separately on relative rate (GHS mean/ control mean) when the outcome is present (e.g., number of sessions of vigorous activity, when the participant does vigorous activity) as well as the odds (GHS/control that the outcome is present (e.g., that the participant does vigorous activity)).

<sup>b</sup> Comparisons shown as overall relative rates (RR) from negative binomial models; otherwise separately as odds ratio (OR) for the presence (yes/no) of the outcome and relative rate (RR) for the amount (if the outcome is present), from zero-inflated negative binomial models.

<sup>c</sup> **Overall test of significance that groups differ in either the odds of the outcome or the amount (if the outcome is present).**